

Cornell University
 Course Evaluation Response Summary
 Semester: Spring 2016 Course Owner: PHYS
 Course: PHYS 1116 DIS 201 CID: 16511
 Title: Phys I Mech & Spec Relativity
 Instructor: Dutta
 10 Responses, 14 Enrolled, 71.43% Response

Please evaluate HOW THIS COURSE WAS TAUGHT. Evaluate each component of the course (lectures, Discussion sections, labs, assignments, and exams) separately. In particular, try to separate your feelings about the subject matter and exams/grading from your evaluations of lectures, discussion sections, labs, and assignments.
 YOU MAY SKIP ANY QUESTION THAT DOES NOT PERTAIN TO YOUR SECTION.

Question	Mean	StDevP	Count	1	2	3	4	5
1. OVERALL rating of discussion section instructor: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	4.78	0.41	9	0	0	0	2	7
2. OVERALL rating of the DISCUSSION SECTION: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	4.56	0.68	9	0	0	1	2	6
3. Did discussion sections help you learn the course material? 1= helped very little; 3= helped somewhat; 5= helped greatly	4.44	0.68	9	0	0	1	3	5
4. Was the discussion section instructor (TA) knowledgeable about the subject matter? 1= not at all; 3= somewhat knowledgeable; 5= very knowledgeable	4.78	0.41	9	0	0	0	2	7
5. Did the discussion section instructor (TA) arrive on time and prepared for class? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.78	0.41	9	0	0	0	2	7
6. Was the discussion section instructor willing and available to help students who had difficulty? 1= not willing and available; 3= somewhat willing and available; 5= very willing and available	4.71	0.69	7	0	0	1	0	6
7. How effectively was the discussion section instructor (TA) able to communicate? 1= not effectively at all; 3= somewhat effectively; 5= very effectively	5.00	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
8. Teaching skill of the discussion section instructor: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	5.00	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
9. Did the discussion section instructor (TA) grade and return work promptly? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.63	0.69	8	0	0	1	1	6
10. If you were given quizzes by your discussion section instructor, rate the difficulty of the quizzes, relative to the coverage of the material in class: 1= too easy; 3=about right; 5= too hard	3.88	0.59	8	0	0	2	5	1

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2016 Course Owner: PHYS
Course: PHYS 1116 DIS 201 CID: 16511
Title: Phys I Mech & Spec Relativity
Instructor: Dutta
10 Responses, 14 Enrolled, 71.43% Response

1. Please write any comments about your discussion classes or your TA here.

63813. I hope Shovan wishes to go on to become a professor - he is very engaging, and discussion was always a joy to attend. He is an exceptional instructor.

63804. The TA for this section is very knowledgeable, helpful and friendly. He prepares each section well and effectively addresses students' questions. An excellent TA.

63805. God. He is a wonderful person, on top of his shit and with a god tier grasp of the concepts, math, and subject. Please, keep him on as a TA. Fail him out of every class he takes so he stays at Cornell to TA. Give him tenure now.

63811. The discussions greatly helped my comprehension of the material through its practice problems and, while difficult, the quizzes did as well.

63806. Very good teacher!

63812. Shovan was a great TA, best I've had so far, will hold future TAs to the standard set by Shovan's instruction.

Cornell University
 Course Evaluation Response Summary
 Semester: Spring 2016 Course Owner: PHYS
 Course: PHYS 1116 LAB 401 CID: 16513
 Title: Phys I Mech & Spec Relativity
 Instructor: Dutta
 10 Responses, 14 Enrolled, 71.43% Response

Please evaluate HOW THIS COURSE WAS TAUGHT. Evaluate each component of the course (lectures, discussion sections, labs, assignments, and exams) separately. In particular, try to separate your feelings about the subject matter and exams/grading from your evaluations of lectures, discussion sections, labs, and assignments.
 YOU MAY SKIP ANY QUESTION THAT DOES NOT PERTAIN TO YOUR LAB.

Question	Mean	StDevP	Count	1	2	3	4	5
1. OVERALL rating of the LAB INSTRUCTOR: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	4.78	0.41	9	0	0	0	2	7
2. OVERALL rating of the LAB EXPERIMENTS: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	3.44	1.06	9	0	2	3	2	2
3. How well did the lab experiments help you to learn the course material? 1= helped very little; 3= helped somewhat; 5= helped greatly	2.67	1.41	9	3	1	2	2	1
4. Was the lab instructor knowledgeable about the subject matter? 1=not at all; 3= somewhat knowledgeable; 5= very knowledgeable	4.88	0.33	8	0	0	0	1	7
5. Did the lab instructor arrive on time and prepared? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.78	0.41	9	0	0	0	2	7
6. How willing and available was the LAB INSTRUCTOR to help students who had difficulty? 1= not willing and available; 3= somewhat willing and available; 5= very willing and available	4.89	0.31	9	0	0	0	1	8
7. Was the lab instructor able to communicate effectively? 1= not effectively at all; 3= somewhat effectively; 5= very effectively	4.78	0.62	9	0	0	1	0	8
8. Teaching skill of the lab instructor: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	4.78	0.62	9	0	0	1	0	8
9. Did the lab instructor grade and return work promptly? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.71	0.69	7	0	0	1	0	6
10. How interesting, on average, were the lab experiments? 1= poor; 3= somewhat; 5= excellent	2.22	1.03	9	3	2	3	1	0

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2016 Course Owner: PHYS
Course: PHYS 1116 LAB 401 CID: 16513
Title: Phys I Mech & Spec Relativity
Instructor: Dutta
10 Responses, 14 Enrolled, 71.43% Response

1. Please write any comments about the labs or your lab instructor here.

63825. Shovan did a great job, the labs were just not very exciting.

63819. The labs offer us a hand-on experience with physics laws.

63829. While the structure of the labs could be more engaging, the instructor was always incredibly helpful and managed to effectively attend to almost everyone needing assistance at all times.

63830. Shovan is really good