

Cornell University
 Course Evaluation Response Summary
 Semester: Spring 2015 Course Owner: PHYS
 Course: PHYS 1203 DIS 204 CID: 6483
 Title: Physics of Heavens & Earth
 Instructor: Dutta
 13 Responses, 32 Enrolled, 40.63% Response

Please evaluate HOW THIS COURSE WAS TAUGHT. Evaluate each component of the course (lectures, Discussion sections, labs, assignments, and exams) separately. In particular, try to separate your feelings about the subject matter and exams/grading from your evaluations of lectures, discussion sections, labs, and assignments.

Question	Mean	StDevP	Count	1	2	3	4	5
1. OVERALL rating of discussion section instructor: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	4.31	1.06	13	0	2	0	3	8
2. OVERALL rating of the DISCUSSION SECTION: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	4.08	1.07	13	0	2	1	4	6
3. Did discussion sections help you learn the course material? 1= helped very little; 3= helped somewhat; 5= helped greatly	4.00	1.03	13	0	2	1	5	5
4. Was the discussion section instructor (TA) knowledgeable about the subject matter? 1= not at all; 3= somewhat knowledgeable; 5= very knowledgeable	4.46	0.92	13	0	1	1	2	9
5. Did the discussion section instructor (TA) arrive on time and prepared for class? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.46	1.08	13	0	2	0	1	10
6. Was the discussion section instructor willing and available to help students who had difficulty? 1= not willing and available; 3= somewhat willing and available; 5= very willing and available	4.54	1.08	13	0	2	0	0	11
7. How effectively was the discussion section instructor (TA) able to communicate? 1= not effectively at all; 3= somewhat effectively; 5= very effectively	4.31	0.91	13	0	1	1	4	7
8. Teaching skill of the discussion section instructor: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	4.00	1	12	0	2	0	6	4
9. Did the discussion section instructor (TA) grade and return work promptly? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.46	1.27	13	1	1	0	0	11
10. If you were given quizzes by your discussion section instructor, rate the difficulty of the quizzes, relative to the coverage of the material in class: 1= too easy; 3= about right; 5= too hard	3.71	1.57	7	1	1	1	0	4
11. Was the discussion leader available and helpful during office hours? 1= never available; 3= sometimes available and helpful; 5= always available and helpful	4.42	1.18	12	1	0	1	1	9

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2015 Course Owner: PHYS
Course: PHYS 1203 DIS 204 CID: 6483
Title: Physics of Heavens & Earth
Instructor: Dutta
13 Responses, 32 Enrolled, 40.63% Response

1. Please write any comments about your discussion class or your TA here.

32180. ...meh

32187. Both were awesome

32191. Very willing to help and approachable. Remained patient and helpful despite disrespectful student behavior.

32176. Shovan went above and beyond as a TA. He provided us with helpful links for our term papers, as well as interesting videos and sites that helped solidify the course material. It was refreshing to have a teacher who truly cared about our success and put so much effort into that goal. I greatly appreciated his dedication.

32195. Great class and TA! Very knowledgable.

32182. Awesome guy

Cornell University
 Course Evaluation Response Summary
 Semester: Spring 2015 Course Owner: PHYS
 Course: PHYS 1203 DIS 202 CID: 6481
 Title: Physics of Heavens & Earth
 Instructor: Dutta
 13 Responses, 25 Enrolled, 52% Response

Please evaluate HOW THIS COURSE WAS TAUGHT. Evaluate each component of the course (lectures, Discussion sections, labs, assignments, and exams) separately. In particular, try to separate your feelings about the subject matter and exams/grading from your evaluations of lectures, discussion sections, labs, and assignments.

Question	Mean	StDevP	Count	1	2	3	4	5
1. OVERALL rating of discussion section instructor: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	3.77	1.24	13	1	0	6	0	6
2. OVERALL rating of the DISCUSSION SECTION: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	3.46	1.15	13	1	1	5	3	3
3. Did discussion sections help you learn the course material? 1= helped very little; 3= helped somewhat; 5= helped greatly	3.67	1.24	12	1	1	3	3	4
4. Was the discussion section instructor (TA) knowledgeable about the subject matter? 1= not at all; 3= somewhat knowledgeable; 5= very knowledgeable	4.77	0.57	13	0	0	1	1	11
5. Did the discussion section instructor (TA) arrive on time and prepared for class? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.67	0.74	12	0	0	2	0	10
6. Was the discussion section instructor willing and available to help students who had difficulty? 1= not willing and available; 3= somewhat willing and available; 5= very willing and available	4.38	0.73	13	0	0	2	4	7
7. How effectively was the discussion section instructor (TA) able to communicate? 1= not effectively at all; 3= somewhat effectively; 5= very effectively	3.77	1.24	13	0	3	3	1	6
8. Teaching skill of the discussion section instructor: 1= poor; 3= satisfactory; 5= excellent	3.92	1.20	13	0	3	1	3	6
9. Did the discussion section instructor (TA) grade and return work promptly? 1= rarely; 3= about half of the time; 5= always	4.83	0.55	12	0	0	1	0	11
10. If you were given quizzes by your discussion section instructor, rate the difficulty of the quizzes, relative to the coverage of the material in class: 1= too easy; 3= about right; 5= too hard	3.80	0.74	5	0	0	2	2	1
11. Was the discussion leader available and helpful during office hours? 1= never available; 3= sometimes available and helpful; 5= always available and helpful	4.67	0.66	9	0	0	1	1	7

Cornell University
Course Evaluation Response Summary
Semester: Spring 2015 Course Owner: PHYS
Course: PHYS 1203 DIS 202 CID: 6481
Title: Physics of Heavens & Earth
Instructor: Dutta
13 Responses, 25 Enrolled, 52% Response

1. Please write any comments about your discussion class or your TA here.

32142. Did not cover enough homework problems. Shovan has a big passion for physics and was extremely well prepared for section. Unfortunately, he was not able to prepare us for homework questions.

32137. Our TA is a great person who was always helpful and engaging.

32131. Very good TA.

32124. Shovan was very smart, but it seemed like he did not know what level the students were at, especially in regards to the math problems he posed to us. Many of us did not even have calculators, so his teaching style did not seem to mesh with our learning style. He was, however, very enthusiastic about the material, and his demonstrations were always a nice addition to section.

32133. You were great Shovan! Best TA

32147. My TA seemed to grade on a harder scale than the others, which was frustrating. I would submit assignments and get points deducted for no indicated reason